arXiv:1411.1647v3 [math.CO] 29 Aug 2016

The Varchenko Determinants of the Classical Coxeter Groups

Hery Randriamaro *

International Centre for Theoretical Physics
Strada Costiera 11
34151 Trieste, Italy

August 30, 2016

Abstract

Varchenko calculated the determinant of the bilinear form of real hyperplane arrangement. He obtained a very beautiful factorization of this determinant. However, it is not possible to compute the exact value of this determinant from a certain level of complexity. In this article, we show that the determinants of the bilinear forms of the arrangements associated to the classical Coxeter groups A_{n-1}, B_n and D_n can be written in a computable way. The determinant associated to the Coxeter group A_{n-1} is particularly of interest in Quantum Physics.

Keywords: Hyperplane Arrangements; Varchenko Determinant; Classical Coxeter Groups
AMS Classification: 05A05, 05A19

1 Introduction

Consider a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ in the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose each hyperplane H_i is assigned a weight q_i. An edge of $\mathcal{A}$ is a nonempty intersection of some of its hyperplanes. The weight $\mathsf{a}(E)$ of an edge E is the product of the weights of all hyperplanes containing it. A chamber of $\mathcal{A}$ is a connected component of the complement $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H_i \in \mathcal{A}} H_i$ of the union of its hyperplanes. We denote the set of edges of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$, and its set of chambers by $\mathfrak{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\mathcal{H}(C_1, C_2)$ be the set of hyperplanes separating the chambers C_1 and C_2. The quantum bilinear form of $\mathcal{A}$ is the function $\mathsf{q} : \mathfrak{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathfrak{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathbb{R}[q_i \mid H_i \in \mathcal{A}]$ defined by

$$\mathsf{q}(C_1, C_2) := \prod_{H_i \in \mathcal{H}(C_1, C_2)} \mathsf{a}(H_i).$$

The Varchenko matrix of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is the matrix

$$\mathsf{M}(\mathcal{A}) := \big(\mathsf{h}(C_1, C_2)\big)_{C_1, C_2 \in \mathfrak{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}$$

*E-mail: hery.randriamaro@gmail.com

whose rows and columns are indexed by the chambers of $\mathcal{A}$. The Varchenko determinant of $\mathcal{A}$ is the determinant of its Varchenko matrix. The formula calculated by Varchenko for this determinant is [5, (1.1) Theorem]

$$\prod_{E\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}\left(1-\mathsf{a}(E)^2\right)^{l(E)}.$$

The exponent $l(E)$ is computed as follows [3, 2. The Nullspace of the B Matrices]: First choose a hyperplane H_i containing E. Then $l(E)$ is half the number of chambers C which have the property that E is the minimal edge containing $\overline{C}\cap H_i$. This exponent is called the multiplicity of the edge E.

The determinant calculated by Varchenko has certainly a very beautiful form. However, it is not feasible to compute it from a certain level of complexity. The determinant $\det \mathsf{M}(\mathcal{A}_{B_{24}})$ of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{B_{24}}$ of the Coxeter group B_{24} is, for example, is not computable. This article aims to calculate the explicit formulas of the Varchenko determinants associated to the Coxeter groups A_{n-1}, B_n and D_n.

Let $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ be n variables. The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}}$ associated to the Coxeter group A_{n-1} consists of the $\binom{n}{2}$ hyperplanes $H_{i,j}=\{x_i=x_j\}$, $i<j$. We assign the weights $q_{i,j}$ to the hyperplanes $H_{i,j}$.

Proposition 1.1. *We have*

$$\det \mathsf{M}(\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}})=\prod_{\substack{I\in 2^{[n]}\\ |I|\geq 2}}\Big(1-\prod_{\{i,j\}\in\binom{I}{2}}q_{i,j}^2\Big)^{(|I|-2)!\,(n-|I|+1)!}.$$

This determinant was also calculated by Duchamp et al. [4, 6.4.1 The Quantum Bilinear Form B_n] in a Noncommunative Symmetric Functions context. If we assign the unique variable q to each hyperplane $H_{i,j}$, we obtain the determinant $\prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(1-q^{i^2-i}\right)^{\frac{n!(n-i+1)}{i^2-i}}$ of the matrix representing the scalar products of n-particle states calculated by Zagier [6, Theorem 2].

Let $[\pm n]:=\{-n,\ldots,-2,-1,1,2,\ldots,n\}$. We write $\overline{2^{[\pm n]}}$ for the subset of $2^{[\pm n]}$ having the following properties:

- the elements of $\overline{2^{[\pm n]}}$ are the elements $\{i_1,\ldots,i_t\}$ of $2^{[\pm n]}$ such that $|i_r|\neq|i_s|$ if $r\neq s$,
- and if $\{i_1,\ldots,i_t\}\in\overline{2^{[\pm n]}}$, then $\{-i_1,\ldots,-i_t\}\notin\overline{2^{[\pm n]}}$.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}2^{[\pm 3]}=\big\{&\emptyset,\ \{1\},\ \{2\},\ \{3\},\\ &\{1,2\},\ \{-1,2\},\ \{1,3\},\ \{-1,3\},\ \{2,3\},\ \{-2,3\},\\ &\{1,2,3\},\ \{-1,2,3\},\ \{-1,-2,3\},\ \{1,-2,3\}\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{B_n}$ of the Coxeter group B_n consists of the $\binom{n}{2}$ hyperplanes $H_{i,j}=\{x_i=x_j\}$ with $i<j$, of the $\binom{n}{2}$ hyperplanes $H_{-i,j}=\{-x_i=x_j\}$ with $i<j$, and of the n hyperplanes $H_i=\{x_i=0\}$. We assign the weights $q_{i,j}$ to the hyperplanes $H_{i,j}$, the weights $q_{-i,j}$ to the hyperplanes $H_{-i,j}$, and the weights q_i to the hyperplanes H_i.

Proposition 1.2. *We have*

$$\det \mathsf{M}(\mathcal{A}_{B_n}) = \prod_{\substack{J\in 2^{\overline{[\pm n]}}\\ |J|\geq 2}} \Big(1 - \prod_{\{i,j\}\in\binom{J}{2}} q_{i,j}^2\Big)^{2^{n-|J|+1}\,(|J|-2)!\,(n-|J|+1)!}$$
$$\prod_{\substack{I\in 2^{[n]}\\ |I|\geq 1}} \Big(1 - \prod_{i\in I} q_i^2 \prod_{\{i,j\}\in\binom{I}{2}} q_{i,j}^2\, q_{-i,j}^2\Big)^{2^{n-1}\,(|I|-1)!\,(n-|I|)!}.$$

The arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{D_n}$ of the Coxeter group D_n consists of the $\binom{n}{2}$ hyperplanes $H_{i,j} = \{x_i = x_j\}$ with $i < j$, and of the $\binom{n}{2}$ hyperplanes $H_{-i,j} = \{-x_i = x_j\}$ with $i < j$. We still assign the weights $q_{i,j}$ to the hyperplanes $H_{i,j}$, and $q_{-i,j}$ to $H_{-i,j}$.

Proposition 1.3. *We have*

$$\det \mathsf{M}(\mathcal{A}_{D_n}) = \prod_{\substack{J\in 2^{\overline{[\pm n]}}\\ |J|\geq 2}} \Big(1 - \prod_{\{i,j\}\in\binom{J}{2}} q_{i,j}^2\Big)^{2^{n-|J|}(|J|-2)!\,(n-|J|+1)!}$$
$$\prod_{\substack{I\in 2^{[n]}\\ |I|\geq 2}} \Big(1 - \prod_{\{i,j\}\in\binom{I}{2}} q_{i,j}^2\, q_{-i,j}^2\Big)^{2^{n-1}(|I|-2)!\,(n-|I|)!}.$$

Remark that there exist other polynomials relating to a hyperplane arrangement like its Tutte polynomial. Let us take two variables x and y. The Tutte Polynomial of a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$T_{\mathcal{A}}(x,y) := \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{B}\subseteq\mathcal{A}\\ \mathcal{B} \text{ central}}} (x-1)^{r(\mathcal{A})-r(\mathcal{B})}(y-1)^{|\mathcal{B}|-r(\mathcal{B})}$$

where $r(\{H_1,\dots,H_k\})$ is the rank of the set of hyperplanes $\{H_1,\dots,H_k\}$. Ardila computed the Tutte polynomials of the arrangements corresponding to the real reflection groups A_n [2, Theorem 4.1], B_n [2, Theorem 4.2], and D_n [2, Theorem 4.3].
In the following section, we give a more refined factorisation of the Varchenko determinant. Then we prove Proposition 1.1 in Section 3, Proposition 1.2 in Section 4, and Proposition 1.3 in Section 5.

2 The Varchenko Determinant with Relevant Edges

In this section we simplify the Varchenko determinant by removing the factors $\big(1-\mathsf{a}(E)^2\big)^{l(E)}$ such that $l(E) = 0$. With the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{A_5}$ for example, the multiplicity of the edge $\{x_1 = x_2\} \cap \{x_4 = x_5\}$ is equal to 0.
Let C be a chamber of a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$. Its set of faces is

$$\mathcal{F}(C) := \{\overline{C} \cap H_i \mid H_i \in \mathcal{A},\, \overline{C} \cap H_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We define the set of faces of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) := \bigcup_{C\in\mathfrak{Ch}(\mathcal{A})} \mathcal{F}(C).$$

Let F be a face of $\mathcal{A}$. The edge E_F generated by F is

$$E_F := \bigcap_{H \in \{H_i \in \mathcal{A} \mid H_i \supseteq F\}} H.$$

It is clear that $\dim F = \dim E_F$ since E_F is the affine subspace generated by F.
The set $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$ of edges generated by the faces of $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) := \{E_F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

And the set $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$ of relevant edges is

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) := \{E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \mid l(X) \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have* $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let $E_F \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$. By definition, F is a face of a chamber C such that $\overline{C} \cap E_F = F$. Since E_F is the minimal edge containing F, then $l(E_F) \geq 1$.
Let $E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that there exist $H \in \mathcal{A}$ and $C \in \mathfrak{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ such that E is the minimal intersection containing $\overline{C} \cap H$. That means that E is generated by a face of $\mathcal{A}$ which contradicts the definition of E. Then $l(X) = 0$. □

From Lemma 2.1, we deduce the equality

$$\prod_{E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})} \big(1 - \mathsf{a}(E)^2\big)^{l(E)} = \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})} \big(1 - \mathsf{a}(E)^2\big)^{l(E)}.$$

Now, for every relevant edge E, we fix a hyperplane H_E of $\mathcal{A}$ containing it.

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$\det \mathsf{M}(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{E \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A})} \big(1 - \mathsf{a}(E)^2\big)^{l(E)}$$

with

$$l(E) = \frac{1}{2} \big|\big\{C \in \mathfrak{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \langle \overline{C} \cap H_E \rangle = E\big\}\big|.$$

Consider the example of the arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{I_2(m)} = \{H_1, \ldots, H_m\}$, in $\mathbb{R}^2$, of the Coxeter group $I_2(m)$, with $H_i = \{x \cos \frac{(i-1)\pi}{m} = -y \sin \frac{(i-1)\pi}{m}\}$. We assign the weight q_i to the hyperplane H_i. The hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}_{I_2(m)}$ intersect on the point $\{O\}$. Its set of relevant edges is $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}_{I_2(m)}) = \mathcal{A}_{I_2(m)} \cup \{O\}$. Then

- $\mathsf{a}(\{O\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i$ with $l(\{O\}) = m - 2$,
- and $\mathsf{a}(H_i) = q_i$ with $l(H_i) = 2$.

Hence

$$\det \mathsf{M}(\mathcal{A}_{I_2(m)}) = \big(1 - \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i^2\big)^{m-2} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (1 - q_j^2)^2.$$

3 Determinant of the Arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}}$

Each of the $n!$ chambers of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}}$ is defined by $n-1$ inequalities of the form $\{x_{\sigma(1)} > x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(n)}\}$ where σ is a permutation of $[n]$ [1, Example 1.81]. For $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq [n]$ with $|I| \geq 2$, we write $E(I)$ for the edge

$$E(I) := \{x_{i_1} = x_{i_2} = \cdots = x_{i_r}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have* $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}}) = \big\{E(I) \mid I \subseteq [n], |I| \geq 2\big\}$.

Proof. Consider a hyperplane $H_{s,t}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}}$, and a permutation σ of $[n]$ such that $\sigma(i) = s$ and $\sigma(j) = t$ with $i < j$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle H_{s,t} \cap \overline{\{x_{\sigma(1)} > x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(n)}\}}\Big\rangle &= \big\langle\{x_{\sigma(1)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(i)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(j)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(n)}\}\big\rangle \\ &= \{x_{\sigma(i)} = x_{\sigma(i+1)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(j)}\} \\ &= E\big(\{\sigma(i), \sigma(i+1), \ldots, \sigma(j)\}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $E\big(\{\sigma(i), \sigma(i+1), \ldots, \sigma(j)\}\big)$ is the minimal edge containing the face $H_{i_s,i_t} \cap \overline{\{x_{\sigma(1)} > x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(n)}\}}$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $E(I) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}_{A_{n-1}})$. *Then,*

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(I)\big) = \prod_{\{s,t\} \in \binom{I}{2}} q_{s,t} \quad \textit{and} \quad l\big(E(I)\big) = (|I|-2)!\,(n-|I|+1)!.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(I)\big) = \prod_{H \in \{H_i \in \mathcal{A} \mid H_i \supseteq E(I)\}} \mathsf{a}(H) = \prod_{\{s,t\} \in \binom{I}{2}} q_{s,t}.$$

To the edge $E(I)$, assign the hyperplane H_{i_1,i_r} containing it. We have to count the chambers $\{x_{\sigma(1)} > x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(n)}\}$ such that

$$\Big\langle H_{i_1,i_r} \cap \overline{\{x_{\sigma(1)} > x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(n)}\}}\Big\rangle = E(I).$$

Let ν be a permutation of $[\![2; r-1]\!]$. Those chambers correspond to the chambers having the forms

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\cdots > x_{i_1} > x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > x_{i_r} > \ldots\} \\ \text{and} \quad &\{\cdots > x_{i_r} > x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > x_{i_1} > \ldots\}.\end{aligned}$$

Because of the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ in the multiplicity, we just need to consider the chambers

$$\{x_{\sigma(1)} > x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > x_{\sigma(n)}\} = \{\cdots > x_{i_1} > x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > x_{i_r} > \ldots\}.$$

Let $i \in [n]$ such that $\sigma(i) = i_1$. We have:

- $(n-r)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(i-1), \sigma(i+r), \ldots, \sigma(n)\big)$,
- $(r-2)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\sigma(i+1), \ldots, \sigma(i+r-2)\big)$,
- and $n-r+1$ possibilities to choose i since we must have $i \in [n-r+1]$.

Then $l\big(E(I)\big) = (n-r)! \times (r-2)! \times (n-r+1) = (r-2)!\,(n-r+1)!$. □

We obtain Proposition 1.1 by combining Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 3.2.

4 Determinant of the Arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{B_n}$

Each of the $2^n n!$ chambers of $\mathcal{A}_{B_n}$ is defined by n inequalities of the form $\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}$ where $\epsilon_i \in [\pm 1]$ and σ is a permutation of $[n]$ [1, Example 1.82]. For $J = \{\epsilon_1 i_1, \epsilon_2 i_2, \ldots, \epsilon_r i_r\} \in \overline{2^{[\pm n]}}$ with $|J| \geq 2$, we write $E(J)$ for the edge

$$E(J) := \{\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} = \epsilon_2 x_{i_2} = \cdots = \epsilon_r x_{i_r}\}.$$

And for $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ with $r \geq 1$, we write $E(I_0)$ for the edge

$$E(I_0) := \{x_{i_1} = x_{i_2} = \cdots = x_{i_r} = 0\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *We have* $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}_{B_n}) = \big\{E(J) \mid J \in \overline{2^{[\pm n]}}, |J| \geq 2\big\} \cup \big\{E(I_0) \mid I \in 2^{[n]}, |I| \geq 1\big\}$.

Proof. Consider a hyperplane $H_{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{B_n}$, and a permutation σ of $[n]$ such that $\sigma(i) = s$ and $\sigma(j) = t$ with $i < j$. If

- $\{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t\} = \{\epsilon_i \sigma(i), \epsilon_j \sigma(j)\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle H_{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}}\big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \cdots > \epsilon_i x_{\sigma(i)} = \cdots = \epsilon_j x_{\sigma(j)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}\big\rangle \\ &= \{\epsilon_i x_{\sigma(i)} = \epsilon_{i+1} x_{\sigma(i+1)} = \cdots = \epsilon_j x_{\sigma(j)}\} \\ &= E\big(\{\epsilon_i \sigma(i),\, \epsilon_{i+1}\sigma(i+1),\, \ldots,\, \epsilon_j \sigma(j)\}\big).\end{aligned}$$

- $\{\epsilon_s i_s, \epsilon_t i_t\} \neq \{\epsilon_i \sigma(i), \epsilon_j \sigma(j)\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle H_{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}}\big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \cdots > \epsilon_{i-1} x_{\sigma(i-1)} > x_{\sigma(i)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(n)} = 0\}\big\rangle \\ &= \{x_{\sigma(i)} = x_{\sigma(i+1)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(n)} = 0\} \\ &= E\big(\{0,\, \sigma(i),\, \sigma(i+1),\, \ldots,\, \sigma(n)\}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Consider a hyperplane H_u of $\mathcal{A}_{B_n}$, and a permutation σ of $[n]$ such that $\sigma(i) = u$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle H_u \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}}\big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \cdots > \epsilon_{i-1} x_{\sigma(i-1)} > x_{\sigma(i)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(n)} = 0\}\big\rangle \\ &= \{x_{\sigma(i)} = x_{\sigma(i+1)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(n)} = 0\} \\ &= E\big(\{0,\, \sigma(i),\, \sigma(i+1),\, \ldots,\, \sigma(n)\}\big).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $E(J), E(I_0) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}_{B_n})$. *Then,*

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(J)\big) = \prod_{\{s,t\} \in \binom{J}{2}} q_{s,t} \quad \textit{with} \quad l\big(E(J)\big) = 2^{n-|J|+1}(|J|-2)!\,(n-|J|+1)!,$$

and

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(I_0)\big) = \prod_{u \in I} q_u \prod_{\{s,t\} \in \binom{I}{2}} q_{s,t} q_{-s,t} \quad \textit{with} \quad l\big(E(I_0)\big) = 2^{n-1}(|I|-1)!\,(n-|I|)!.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(J)\big) = \prod_{H\in\{H_i\in\mathcal{A}\ \mid\ H_i\supseteq E(J)\}} \mathsf{a}(H) = \prod_{\{s,t\}\in\binom{J}{2}} q_{s,t},$$

and

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(I_0)\big) = \prod_{H\in\{H_i\in\mathcal{A}\ \mid\ H_i\supseteq E(I_0)\}} \mathsf{a}(H) = \prod_{u\in I} q_u \prod_{\{s,t\}\in\binom{I}{2}} q_{s,t}q_{-s,t}.$$

To the edge $E(J)$, assign the hyperplane $H_{\epsilon_1 i_1,\,\epsilon_r i_r}$ containing it. We first have to count the chambers $\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}$ such that

$$\Big\langle H_{\epsilon_1 i_1,\,\epsilon_r i_r} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}}\Big\rangle = E(J).$$

Let ν be a permutation of $[\![2; r-1]\!]$. Those chambers correspond to the chambers having the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\cdots > \epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \dots\},\\
&\{\cdots > \epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \dots\},\\
&\{\cdots > -\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > -\epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \dots\},\\
\text{and}\quad &\{\cdots > -\epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > -\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \dots\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ in the multiplicity and the symmetry of the signed permutation, we just need to consider the chambers

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}\\
&\qquad = \{\cdots > \epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \dots\}
\end{aligned}$$

and multiply the obtained cardinality by 2. Let $i \in [n]$ such that $\sigma(i) = i_1$. We have:

- $2^{n-r}(n-r)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\epsilon_1\sigma(1),\, \dots,\, \epsilon_{i-1}\sigma(i-1),\, \epsilon_{i+r}\sigma(i+r),\, \dots,\, \epsilon_n\sigma(n)\big)$,
- $(r-2)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\epsilon_{i+1}\sigma(i+1),\, \dots,\, \epsilon_{i+r-2}\sigma(i+r-2)\big)$,
- and $n-r+1$ possibilities to choose i since we must have $i \in [n-r+1]$.

Then $l\big(E(J)\big) = 2 \times 2^{n-r}(n-r)! \times (r-2)! \times (n-r+1) = 2^{n-r+1}(r-2)!(n-r+1)!$.
To the edge $E(I_0)$, assign the hyperplane H_{i_r} containing it. Now, we have to count the chambers $\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}$ such that

$$\Big\langle H_{i_r} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}}\Big\rangle = E(I_0).$$

Let ν be a permutation of $[r-1]$. Those chambers correspond to the chambers having the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\cdots > x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \epsilon_{\nu(1)} x_{i_{\nu(1)}} > 0\}\\
\text{and}\quad &\{\cdots > -x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \epsilon_{\nu(1)} x_{i_{\nu(1)}} > 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ in the multiplicity, we just need to consider the chambers

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > \epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)} > 0\}\\
&\qquad = \{\cdots > x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \epsilon_{\nu(1)} x_{i_{\nu(1)}} > 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

- $2^{n-r}(n-r)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\epsilon_1\sigma(1),\,\ldots,\,\epsilon_{n-r}\sigma(n-r)\big)$,
- and $2^{r-1}(r-1)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big((\epsilon_{n-r+2}\sigma(n-r+2),\,\ldots,\,\epsilon_n\sigma(n)\big)$.

Then $l\big(E(I_0)\big) = 2^{n-r}(n-r)! \times 2^{r-1}(r-1)! = 2^{n-1}(r-1)!(n-r)!$. □

We obtain Proposition 1.2 by combining Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.2.

5 Determinant of the Arrangement $\mathcal{A}_{D_n}$

Each of the $2^{n-1}n!$ chambers of $\mathcal{A}_{D_n}$ is defined by $n-1$ inequalities of the form $\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}$ where $\epsilon_i \in [\pm 1]$ and σ is a permutation of $[n]$ [1, Example 1.83]. We keep the notation $E(J) = \{\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} = \cdots = \epsilon_r x_{i_r}\}$ and $E(I_0) = \{x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_r} = 0\}$ of Section 4.

Lemma 5.1. *We have* $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}_{D_n}) = \big\{E(J) \mid J \in \overline{2^{[\pm n]}},\, |J| \geq 2\big\} \cup \big\{E(I_0) \mid I \in 2^{[n]},\, |I| \geq 1\big\}$.

Proof. Consider a hyperplane $H_{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{D_n}$, and a permutation σ of $[n]$ such that $\sigma(i) = s$ and $\sigma(j) = t$ with $i < j$. If

- $\{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t\} = \{\epsilon_i \sigma(i), \epsilon_j \sigma(j)\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle H_{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}}\big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \cdots > \epsilon_i x_{\sigma(i)} = \cdots = \epsilon_j x_{\sigma(j)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}\big\rangle \\ &= \{\epsilon_i x_{\sigma(i)} = \epsilon_{i+1} x_{\sigma(i+1)} = \cdots = \epsilon_j x_{\sigma(j)}\} \\ &= E\big(\{\epsilon_i\sigma(i),\, \epsilon_{i+1}\sigma(i+1),\, \ldots,\, \epsilon_j\sigma(j)\}\big).\end{aligned}$$

- $\{\epsilon_s i_s, \epsilon_t i_t\} \neq \{\epsilon_i \sigma(i), \epsilon_j \sigma(j)\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle H_{\epsilon_s s, \epsilon_t t} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}}\big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \cdots > \epsilon_{i-1} x_{\sigma(i-1)} > x_{\sigma(i)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(n)} = 0\}\big\rangle \\ &= \{x_{\sigma(i)} = x_{\sigma(i+1)} = \cdots = x_{\sigma(n)} = 0\} \\ &= E\big(\{0,\, \sigma(i),\, \sigma(i+1),\, \ldots,\, \sigma(n)\}\big).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $E(J)$, $E(I_0) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}_{D_n})$. *Then,*

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(J)\big) = \prod_{\{s,t\} \in \binom{J}{2}} q_{s,t} \quad \textit{with} \quad l\big(E(J)\big) = 2^{n-|J|}(|J|-2)!\,(n-|J|+1)!,$$

and

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(I_0)\big) = \prod_{\{s,t\} \in \binom{I}{2}} q_{s,t} q_{-s,t} \quad \textit{with} \quad l\big(E(I_0)\big) = 2^{n-1}(|I|-2)!\,(n-|I|)!.$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(J)\big) = \prod_{H\in\{H_i\in\mathcal{A}\ \mid\ H_i\supseteq E(J)\}} \mathsf{a}(H) = \prod_{\{s,t\}\in\binom{J}{2}} q_{s,t},$$

and

$$\mathsf{a}\big(E(I_0)\big) = \prod_{H\in\{H_i\in\mathcal{A}\ \mid\ H_i\supseteq E(I_0)\}} \mathsf{a}(H) = \prod_{\{s,t\}\in\binom{I}{2}} q_{s,t}q_{-s,t}.$$

To the edge $E(J)$, assign the hyperplane $H_{\epsilon_1 i_1,\,\epsilon_r i_r}$ containing it. We first have to count the chambers $\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}$ such that

$$\big\langle H_{\epsilon_1 i_1,\,\epsilon_r i_r} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}}\big\rangle = E(J).$$

Let ν be a permutation of $[\![2; r-1]\!]$. Those chambers correspond to the chambers having the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\cdots > \epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \dots\},\\
&\{\cdots > \epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \dots\},\\
&\{\cdots > -\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > -\epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \dots\},\\
\text{and}\quad &\{\cdots > -\epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > -\epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \dots\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ in the multiplicity and the symmetry of the signed permutation, we just need to consider the chambers

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}\\
&\qquad = \{\cdots > \epsilon_1 x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \epsilon_r x_{i_r} > \dots\}.
\end{aligned}$$

and multiply the obtained cardinality by 2. Let $i \in [n]$ such that $\sigma(i) = i_1$. We have:

- $2^{n-r-1}(n-r)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\epsilon_1\sigma(1),\, \dots,\, \epsilon_{i-1}\sigma(i-1),\, \epsilon_{i+r}\sigma(i+r),\, \dots,\, \sigma(n)\big)$,
- $(r-2)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\epsilon_{i+1}\sigma(i+1),\, \dots,\, \epsilon_{i+r-2}\sigma(i+r-2)\big)$,
- and $n-r+1$ possibilities to choose i since we must have $i \in [n-r+1]$.

Then $l\big(E(J)\big) = 2 \times 2^{n-r-1}(n-r)! \times (r-2)! \times (n-r+1) = 2^{n-r}(r-2)!\,(n-r+1)!$.
To the edge $E(I_0)$, assign the hyperplane H_{i_1,i_r} containing it. Now, we have to count the chambers $\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}$ such that

$$\big\langle H_{i_1,\,i_r} \cap \overline{\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\}}\big\rangle = E(I_0).$$

Let ν be a permutation of $\{2, \dots, r-1\}$. Those chambers correspond to the chambers having the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\cdots > x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > |x_{i_1}|\},\\
&\{\cdots > -x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > |x_{i_1}|\},\\
&\{\cdots > x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > |x_{i_r}|\}\\
\text{and}\quad &\{\cdots > -x_{i_1} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > |x_{i_r}|\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ in the multiplicity, we just need to do the calculation the chambers

$$\{\epsilon_1 x_{\sigma(1)} > \epsilon_2 x_{\sigma(2)} > \cdots > |x_{\sigma(n)}|\} = \{\cdots > x_{i_r} > \epsilon_{\nu(r-1)} x_{i_{\nu(r-1)}} > \cdots > \epsilon_{\nu(2)} x_{i_{\nu(2)}} > |x_{i_1}|\},$$

and multiply by 2. We have:

- $2^{n-r}(n-r)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big(\epsilon_1\sigma(1), \ldots, \epsilon_{n-r}\sigma(n-r)\big)$,
- and $2^{r-2}(r-2)!$ possibilities for the sequence $\big((\epsilon_{n-r+2}\sigma(n-r+2), \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1}\sigma(n-1)\big)$.

Then $l\big(E(I_0)\big) = 2 \times 2^{n-r}(n-r)! \times 2^{r-2}(r-2)! = 2^{n-1}(r-2)!\,(n-r)!$. □

We obtain Proposition 1.3 by combining Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 5.2.

References

[1] P. Abramenko, K. Brown, *Buildings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics (2010)

[2] F. Ardila, *Computing the Tutte Polynomial of a Hyperplane Arrangements*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **230** (2007)

[3] G. Denham, P. Hanlon, *Some Algebraic Properties of the Schechtman-Varchenko Bilinear Form*, MSRI Publications **38** (1999) 149-176

[4] G. Duchamp, A. Klyachko, D. Krob, J.-Y. Thibon, *Noncommutative Symmetric Functions III: Deformations of Cauchy and Convolution Algebras*, Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **1** (1997) 159-216

[5] A. Varchenko, *Bilinear Form of Real Configuration of Hyperplanes*, Advances in Mathematics **97** (1993) 110-144

[6] D. Zagier, *Realizability of a Model in Infinite Statistics*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **147** (1992) 199-210